## **STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for conducting an interview with Applicant's representative, Dominic S. Lindauer, on February 3, 2009.

During the interview, Applicant's representative presented arguments distinguishing the claims from the cited references. In particular, the failure of the Richter, Potterveld, or Debique references to disclose a main presentation clock or prerolling of media by receiving data at a sink node before starting a presentation clock which is synchronized with the main presentation clock.

Several proposed claim amendments were also discussed during the interview. Applicant's representative understood the Examiner to tentatively agree that the claims, amended as proposed during the interview, would be allowable over at least the references of record. Accordingly, Applicant herein amends claims 1, 10, 20, and 29 in the manner discussed during the interview.

Claims 1-6 and 8-29 are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.